

REMARKS

In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration is requested.

Preliminarily, it is noted that the Examiner has indicated that none of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. However, as indicated on the Form PCT/IB/304 filed as Attachment G along with the application papers on the application filing date of August 29, 2006, the priority documents were filed with the International Bureau in the International Application. If the International Bureau has not forwarded the copies to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office as required, it is requested that the Examiner inquire regarding the certified copies, or communicate the issue to the Applicants.

Beginning on page 2 of the Office Action, claims 59-64 have been rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 59-64 have been amended herein to address the issues raised by the Examiner.

On page 4 of the Office Action, claims 35, 38 and 40-58 have been indicated to be allowable if rewritten or amended to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. However, based on the rejection beginning on the bottom of page 2 of the Office Action, it is apparent that the indication of numeral “40” should have been “44.” Thus, it is believed that the Examiner may have intended to indicate that claims 35, 38 and 44-58 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, by this amendment, the claims have been amended, as discussed in detail below, to include allowable subject matter. However, please note that the limitation from claim 34 of “a deflecting element” and that “the first reflecting surface and the second reflecting surface being integrally formed with the deflecting element” has been removed from the claim. It is submitted that based on the amendments discussed in detail below, the claims should be allowable for the reasons indicated by the Examiner on page 4 of the Office Action. Accordingly, claim 34 has been amended to include all of the limitations of claim 38; claim 35 has been amended to include all of the

limitations of claim 34; and claim 44 has been amended to include all of the limitations of claim 34.

Please also note that new claim 65 has been added to include all of the limitations of claims 35 and 59; new claim 66 has been added to include all of the limitations of claims 44 and 59; and new claims 67-69 have been added to include the recitation of a deflecting element, and that the first reflecting surface and the second reflecting surface are integrally formed with the deflecting element, which has been removed from claim 34 as discussed above.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is submitted that claims 34-37 and 39-69 are allowable over the prior art of record for the same reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter on page 4 of the Office Action.

Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone to resolve any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Kanji WAKABAYASHI et al.

/Jeffrey R. Filipek/

By 2009.05.22 15:25:03 -04'00'

Jeffrey R. Filipek
Registration No. 41,471
Attorney for Applicants

JRF/fs
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
May 22, 2009